

SALMON TEST SITE REPORT

RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING

2025



Salmon Test Site

Lamar County, Mississippi

Analytical Results of
Joint/Quarterly Monitoring - October 2025
and the
Study for Selected Wells
And Other Surface Samples
January 2024 - December 2025

Includes Comparative Analytical Results
Provided by
DOE, Legacy Management
Grand Junction, CO

Prepared by

Shonquatta Lane

Shonquatta Lane
Environmental Laboratory Team Lead

and

Michael Melton

Michael Melton
Environmental Laboratory Technician

Environmental Monitoring and Emergency Preparedness Branch
Division of Radiological Health
Mississippi State Department of Health

01 July 2026

Approved By

Ronald Rogers

Ronald Rogers, Director
Division of Radiological Health

Table of Contents

List of Figures.....	iii
List of Tables.....	v
Abbreviations and Notations Used in this Report.....	vii
Preface.....	1
Validity of Information.....	2
Background Information.....	2
Tritium.....	24
Safe Drinking Water Standards for Radioactive Materials.....	27
2024/2025 STS Environmental Monitoring.....	28
Chronology of Sampling Events.....	29
2023 DOE Tritium Analysis.....	34
2025 Tritium Analysis.....	43
Gamma Isotopic Analysis.....	46
Study of the HMM Series and Surface Water.....	50
Appendix.....	51

List of Figures

Figure 1, Old Bridge over Halfmoon Creek.....	1
Figure 2, Project Dribble and Miracle Play Monument.....	3
Figure 3, Worker Napping During Detonation Delay.....	4
Figure 4, Granite Monument at Ground Zero of the Salmon Test Site.....	5
Figure 5, Half Moon Creek Overflow.....	8
Figure 6, Reporter Napping During Postponement.....	9
Figure 7, Henry G. Vermillion Showing 1964 Evacuation Area.....	9
Figure 8, Test Cavity and Aquifers at the Salmon Test Site.....	10
Figure 9, Surface Ground Zero Downhole Wells and Onsite Locations.....	12
Figure 10, Purging STS Well HMM-5-R for Sample Collection.....	14
Figure 11, News Media Observe Project Salmon Detonation (2)	15
Figure 12, Seismograph Recording Shockwaves from Detonation (2)	16
Figure 13, Workers Lower 5.3 kiloton Bomb into Ground.....	17
Figure 14, Residents Prepare for Blast.....	17

List of Figures (continued)

Figure 15, Baxterville Postmaster C.E. Bond and Dog.....	18
Figure 16, Resident Constructs Homemade Seismograph.....	19
Figure 17, Observer Checks Watch at Scheduled Time of Blast.....	19
Figure 18, Residents Await Blast.....	20
Figure 19, Home Damaged Two Miles from Ground Zero.....	21
Figure 20, Horace Burge Surveys Damage to Kitchen.....	21
Figure 21, Tritium Trend Chart for Selected Sample Points.....	23
Figure 22, M. Melton (MSDH) Collecting Well Water Sample.....	26
Figure 23, Pond West of Ground Zero.....	30
Figure 24, Conceptual Model of the SGZ Well Cluster.....	31
Figure 25, Cross-Sectional Depiction of the Shot Cavity After Surface Decommissioning.....	32
Figure 26, Conceptual Model of the Relationship of the Dome, Shot Cavity & SGZ Well Cluster at the Salmon Test Site.....	33

List of Tables

Table 1. Department of Energy Onsite Tritium Analysis 2023

Surface Ground Zero Wells.....	34
Other Deep Wells.....	34
Surface Water.....	35

Table 2. Department of Energy Offsite Sample Tritium Analysis 2023

Public Water Supply.....	36
--------------------------	----

Table 3. MSDH Quarterly Onsite Tritium Analysis

June 2024.....	37
September 2024.....	38
December 2024.....	39
March 2025.....	40
June 2025.....	41
August 2025.....	42

Table 4. Department of Energy Onsite Tritium Analysis 2025

Surface Ground Zero Wells.....	43
Other Deep Wells.....	44
Surface Water.....	45

Table 5. Offsite Sample Tritium Analysis 2025

Public Water Supply.....	45
--------------------------	----

List of Tables (continued)

Table 6. Onsite Sample Gamma Analysis

Surface Ground Zero Wells.....	46
Other Deep Wells.....	47
Surface Water.....	48

Table 7. Offsite Sample Gamma Analysis

Public Water Supply.....	49
--------------------------	----

Table 8. Study of the HMM Series and Surface Water

HMM Series.....	50
Surface Water.....	50

Abbreviations and Notations

AEC	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
ERDA	Energy Research and Development Administration
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NCRP	National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements
HMC	Half Moon Creek
HMCOP	Half Moon Creek Overflow Pond
IT	International Technologies Corporation
L	Liter
LLD	Lower Limit of Detection
LTHMP	Long-Term Hydrological Monitoring Program
Dup	Duplicate
NA	Not applicable
ND	No data provided
NS	No sample collected
NSD	Sample collected by DRH; Broken during transport to DRH
pCi/L	Picocuries per liter = 10^{-12} curies per liter
PWGZ	Pond West of Ground Zero
SGZ	Surface Ground Zero
³H	Tritium
¹³⁷Cs	Cesium-137
E-7	Equipment Well #7
HMH-#	Hydrological Monitoring Holes 1 through 16
HM-L2	Hydrological Monitoring Well - Local Aquifer
HM-S	Hydrological Monitoring Well - Surficial Aquifer
HM-1	Hydrological Monitoring Well - Aquifer 1
HM-2a	Hydrological Monitoring Well - Aquifer 2a
HM-2b	Hydrological Monitoring Well - Aquifer 2b
HM-3	Hydrological Monitoring Well - Aquifer 3
HT-#	Hydrological Test Well -2c, -4, -5
SA#-##-X	Source Area 1 to 5 - sequential well number - Aquifer

Preface

This report presents data collected during the October 2025 joint environmental monitoring activities conducted at the Salmon Test Site (STS), formerly known as the Tatum Salt Dome Test Site (TSDTS), located in Lamar County, Mississippi. Additionally, it contains data from 2024-2025 continuation of the study of selected wells and surface locations. The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) had representation onsite during the October monitoring period. Samples from the annual monitoring were split between the Division of Radiological Health (DRH) and the DOE contractor, RSI ENTECH. To the extent available, data from DOE's contract lab for the monitoring periods are included. Note: The "annual" monitoring is now performed on an 18-month basis.

The analytical results identify tritium as the only radionuclide above the DRH lower limit of detection and not routinely found at those levels in environmental samples. However, no tritium level above the EPA drinking water standard (20,000 pCi/L) was detected in a potable (i.e., suitable for drinking) water source. Additionally, the overall tritium concentration continues to decrease.

DOE transferred the surface site to the State of Mississippi on December 15, 2010, renaming it the Jamie Whitten Forest Management Area. During 2010, 360 tree samples were taken and analyzed in preparation for this transfer. The Mississippi Forestry Commission now manages the site.



Figure 1. Old Bridge over Half Moon Creek

Validity of Information

The Division of Radiological Health (DRH), Environmental Monitoring Laboratory is using DOE's Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program (MAPEP) for its laboratory proficiency testing. As a participant in this program, DRH analyzes unknown, simulated environmental samples provided by MAPEP and reports its results directly to them.

In most cases covered by this report, DRH and RSI ENTECH shared either duplicate or split samples. Some of the data reflect instances when samples were neither split nor duplicated. In many cases, sample results are less than the Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) for the analytical technique and equipment. For example, for tritium the LLD is approximately 300 picocuries per liter (pCi/L) during routine DRH analysis, and 300 to 400 pCi/L for the contract lab's analysis. Concentrations that fall below the LLD are reported "<LLD".

The contract lab performs some analyses using a "Tritium Enrichment" technique, in which tritium is concentrated by electrolysis. This data can be noted by numbers expressed in quotes, in a fashion similar to: "94.7 $\pm$ 6.44" and are generally less than 300 pCi/L as samples showing higher results during routine analysis are not enriched.

Background Information **The Salmon Test Site, Tritium, and the Role of the** **Division of Radiological Health**

The Salmon Test Site (STS) is in the Piney Woods area of the Gulf Coastal plain near Hattiesburg, Mississippi. The salt dome at STS is an almost circular dome, 1500 meters (5000 feet) in diameter. The salt is 460 meters (1500 feet) below the ground surface. The salt in the dome is 90% NaCl (commonly called halite) and 10% CaSO₄ (commonly called anhydrite).



Figure 2. Projects Dribble and Miracle Play Monument

Projects Dribble and Miracle Play

During the 1960s, the Department of Defense and the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (AEC) conducted Projects Dribble and Miracle Play in the geological structure known as the Tatum Salt Dome in Lamar County, Mississippi. Project Dribble consisted of two nuclear detonations, and the Miracle Play series consisted of two methane and oxygen gas explosions. All four of the shots were part of the Department of Defense's Vela-Uniform Project. The STS test cavity contains fission and activation products from the detonations.

Detonations, Nuclear

The first detonation at STS was the Salmon Event. It occurred on October 20, 1964. Its yield was estimated at the time to be 5.3 ± 0.5 kilotons. Note: Some intra-office correspondence indicates a yield closer to 8 kilotons, which may have been determined from later calculations. A search of scientific literature has not confirmed this higher value. The device was detonated at a depth of 826 meters (2710 feet) below surface ground zero (SGZ) and created a cavity in the salt 17 meters (55 feet) in radius.

The second detonation was the Sterling Event, originally to be detonated in a mined cavity on the site. However, the failure of two separate attempts to set a large diameter casing in the salt forced a change in plans. The device was placed in the cavity formed by the Salmon Event and detonated on December 3, 1966. It was calculated to have a 380-ton yield.

Detonations, Non-Nuclear

Two methane/oxygen gas detonations took place in the original Salmon/Sterling cavity. These were called, collectively, “Miracle Play.” The first shot, February 2, 1969, was called Diode Tube and was estimated to have a 315-ton TNT-equivalence. The second shot, Humid Water, detonated April 19, 1970, also had a 315-ton TNT-equivalence.



Figure 3. An Atomic Energy Commission worker naps while waiting for a detonation that was postponed.

(Photo credit: Moncrief Photograph Collection / Mississippi Department of Archives and History / Mississippi History Now / Nuclear Blasts in Mississippi by Stephen Cresswell / Wikimedia Commons).



Figure 4. Granite monument placed at “Ground Zero” of the Salmon Test Site surrounded by test wells of the nuclear bomb tests

Other Site Activities

In preparation for the second nuclear shot and the following gas shots, a plant to process and store radioactive liquids, gases, and solids was built onsite near SGZ. This facility, known as the Bleed Down Plant, processed some of the soil and drilling mud, and all the liquids and gases.

From March through July of 1965, Aquifer 5 was injected with 7600 liters (2000 gallons) of 15% hydrochloric acid in water solution through Well HT-2. This acidic solution effectively dissolved the formation within the Cook Mountain Limestone aquifer near the wellbore, allowing more efficient injection of the wastewater. Following this, 1,279,000 liters (337,900 gallons) of contaminated water were injected. At sampling, this water contained 38 curies of beta-gamma emitters and 3253 curies of tritium. A last injection of 340,700 liters (90,000 gallons) of fresh water was made at 414 kPa (60 psi).

Site Decommissioning/Decontamination Limits

AEC contractors from Las Vegas, Nevada conducted the site cleanup from May 1971 through June 1972. Reports indicated that even though locally inclement conditions hampered the clean-up effort, the site was left radiologically "clean," consistent with the following limits imposed by the AEC as final clean up criteria:

Surface Water	300,000pCi/liter tritium
Soil	1,000pCi/gram tritium
	10pCi/gram beta-gamma emitter
	1pCi/gram alpha emitters

The Division of Radiological Health of the Mississippi State Department of Health has been directly involved with STS projects of the Atomic Energy Commission, the Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA) and the Department of Energy since early 1974.

The reason for the involvement of the Division was the potential for accidental release of waste injected into deep formations (note the fact that radioactively contaminated water had begun surfacing from AEC monitoring well HT-2m). Division activities also address our concerns regarding the potential impact by intentional or natural incursions into the cavity on groundwater onsite and offsite. The isotope of concern has been tritium. In September 1974, an *ad hoc* committee was formulated at the request of the Division to assist in the evaluation of U. S. Government activities at the then Tatum Salt Dome Test Site. That committee was the Tatum Salt Dome Advisory Committee.

The Division has been involved only with the radiological matters at the STS. It has also acted as a state clearinghouse for distribution of information received from the various federal agencies involved with the Salmon Project. From 1974 to 1990, the Division unofficially acted as the coordinator for Mississippi's involvement at the STS. In 1990, the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) became the official coordinator for clean-up activities at STS, and in 2000, that responsibility was officially transferred to the Mississippi State Department of Health.

Due to the possibility of further radiological contamination from HT-2m, the Division had personnel assigned onsite during the HT-2m plugback in August 1975, and the reconditioning of wells HT-1 and Ascot Oil Co. No. 2 Bass Well near Baxterville. The Division also performed tritium analyses on sample splits taken by EPA during the 1975 Salmon operations. Sampling frequency was increased after the HT-2m plugback.

During the April 1977 sample collection period, the EPA collected some special soil and water samples in the area east of surface ground zero (SGZ) and west of the Half Moon Creek Overflow. The EPA did not send samples from that soil and water to the Division. In July 1977, the Division was apprised of the fact that EPA detected significant levels of tritium in the samples collected in April. A monitoring program was developed to determine the areal and vertical extent, and magnitude of the tritium contamination. From that time until 2010, radiological samples collected by EPA or the Division were, with few exceptions, splits or duplicates shared between the agencies.

During September and October 1977, representatives of the Division and the Mississippi State Geological Survey (now incorporated into MDEQ) assisted EPA in the collection of soil and water samples from some 130 hand-augured holes in the SGZ area. The Division of Radiological Health analyzed splits of the water samples.

After analysis of the data, members of the Tatum Salt Dome Advisory Committee and other interested members of state government determined that additional hand-augured wells should be drilled and a series of shallow water table monitoring holes emplaced. One hole was to be drilled and a well completed in the local aquifer in the SGZ area. Water samples and soil samples collected during the drilling of PS-3 were split with the Division and analyzed for tritium. For various reasons, the monitor well PS-3 was never completed.

In September 1978, a meeting of the Tatum Salt Dome Advisory Committee discussed NVO-200, "Special Study-Tatum Dome Test Site-Final Report," and the role of the Department of Energy, Nevada Operations Office, with respect to future activities at STS. The Committee determined that the incomplete PS-3 was of no use and should be completed or preferably replaced.

In October 1978, the Mississippi Mineral Resources Institute (MMRI), at the request of State Senator Dale Ford, asked that the Division prepare a synopsis of DRH activities and radioassay results relative to the Tatum Salt Dome (TSD). The information supplied by DRH and Mississippi State Geological Survey (MSGs) and compiled by MMRI showed the geohydrology of the TSD was highly uncertain. There was a question concerning the dome's integrity and the cavity status itself was in doubt.

A Technical Advisory Committee to Senator Ford and the Senate Oil and Gas Committee had a DRH staff member as a representative. Representatives from MSGS and MMRI constituted the remainder of the committee. The input of the DRH representative concerned only radiological matters. DOE and the Technical Committee conducted several meetings between December 6, 1978, and January 19, 1979. On January 19, 1979, the DOE committed to performing an extensive re-evaluation of the status of the STS in general, with a focus on water and game pathways to man.



Figure 5. Half Moon Creek Overflow



Figure 6. A reporter naps on his car at the media observation point during a postponement.




Figure 7. Henry G. Vermillion, Office of Information Director, with the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, pinpoints the evacuation area for the 1964 nuclear test site.

(Photo credit: Moncrief Photograph Collection / Mississippi Department of Archives and History / Mississippi History Now / Nuclear Blasts in Mississippi by Stephen Cresswell / Wikimedia Commons).

Surface Ground Zero (SGZ) Well Depths

In 1979, a group of wells reaching the aquifers above the dome were drilled, developed, and pumped. One of the original SGZ wells, PS-3 at 43.3 meters (142 feet), was plugged. Current well depths are as follows:

HM-S	Surficial Aquifer	9 meters (30 ft.)
HM-L	Local Aquifer [aquifer extends from 46 to 76 meters]	62.2 meters (204 ft.)
HM-L2	Local Aquifer	61 meters (200 ft.)
HM-1	Aquifer 1	126 meters (415 ft.)
HM-2a	Aquifer 2a	164 meters (537 ft.)
HM-2b	Aquifer 2b	213 meters (700 ft.)
HM-3	Aquifer 3	267 meters (875 ft.)




Figure 8. Test Cavity and Aquifers at the Salmon Test Site

REECO Pit

Between 1977 and 1979, during the auguring program to collect soil samples and their subsequent analyses, the results indicated notable levels of tritium near the SGZ area. At that time, another area onsite was identified that had not previously shown tritium results. This location was some 640 meters (2100 feet) from SGZ (Fig. 9). A literature search indicated that this location had been a disposal pit for the Reynolds Electrical and Engineering Company (REECO). This resulted in the addition of three monitoring points within the old pit to the Long-Term Hydrologic Monitoring Program.

Tritium levels at this pit were unremarkable until 1983, when the level increased from the 1000-2000 pCi/L range to approximately 12,000 pCi/L at one location. In 1984, levels returned to the previous range of values.

DRH requested information from the U.S. Department of Energy about the use of the pit in January 1984. Their response identified the pit as a borrow pit, originally used to fill in other excavations and then for storage of drilling mud. During decommissioning and site cleanup, the pit was used for the disposal of noncombustible materials and uncontaminated tools and equipment. They described the pit as filled to capacity, the area filled in and grass planted.

Over the years, this pit has eroded and now has a gully 3.7 to 4.6 meters (12 to 15 feet) deep in places. The erosion exposed bricks and concrete fill. Water flows through the pit during high rainfall months. A natural spring is present at one end of this pit; based upon continued sampling, it does not appear to ever have been contaminated by tritium. Cows and wildlife have been observed using this spring for water. Water flows through the pit, emptying into a small brook somewhat distant from the pit; it also appears to be uncontaminated, beyond any contribution from the pit water.

Senator Trent Lott's Requests

During the fall of 1989, Senator Trent Lott asked DOE to address concerns regarding security needs at SGZ and assess contaminants at the REECO pits. He also asked DOE to perform an epidemiological cancer study in Lamar County and evaluate the adequacy of their exchange of information with residents in the STS area. As a result, DOE increased the amount of information it makes available to area residents and performed an environmental study of site conditions. The cancer study was conducted but was inconclusive.




Figure 9. SGZ Downhole Wells and Other Onsite Sample Locations

New Wells on the Salmon Test Site

From late summer 1995 until January 1997, 29 new wells were drilled: 15 shallow wells (less than 18 meters [59 feet]) and 14 deep wells of varying depth (50.3 to 640 m [165 to 2100 ft]). These wells were drilled both to detect contamination and to characterize the direction of water flow in the aquifers around the Tatum Salt Dome. These wells are the SA# series wells and are a part of the annual sampling. During the 1998 annual sampling of the shallow wells, SA1-1-H well produced tritium analyses results greater than 30,000 pCi/L. This well samples the Half Moon Creek alluvium and is not a potable water source. In December 1998, 70 shallow holes were directly pushed to repeat the September/October 1977 special study. Division staff and IT Corporation took duplicate water samples.

In early 2002, four more wells were drilled while many of the earlier wells were plugged and abandoned as part of the Restoration Plan. This left the Long-Term Hydrological Monitoring Program (LTHMP) with 28 wells (12 shallow and 16 deep) and 6 surface-water sampling points. In late 2007, all but the two deepest wells were converted to low flow pumps.

Private Wells, Community Water Supplies and Offsite Monitoring

Since March 1980, the annual joint sampling, by the responsible Federal Agency and the Division of Radiological Health, has included private and public supply wells. The specific public water supplies sampled are Baxterville, Lumberton, Purvis, and Hub Water Association.

During the 1990 annual joint sampling of private wells, the offsite monitoring was expanded to include not only water, but also meat, milk, vegetables, and other food products for human consumption. Its intent was to examine the various pathways by which tritium, as well as other radionuclides, could be ingested.

During the 2002 annual joint sampling, EPA and DRH notified residents that individual private well samples would no longer be collected. This was because the DOE had aided the county in the installation of public water lines to supply all area residents. The offsite sampling was reduced to the collection of samples from area creeks, ponds, and public water suppliers.

In 2009, the DOE unilaterally discontinued offsite sampling but added sampling points for water entering and leaving the site. It is DRH's intention to continue to sample water from Baxterville, N. Lumberton, Purvis, and Hub Water Association.



Figure 10. Water being purged from Salmon Test Site well HMH-5-R in preparation to collect the quarterly water samples.

Rare Photos



Figure 11. News media observe the Project Salmon detonation from 3.5 miles southwest of ground zero.

(Photo credit: Moncrief Photograph Collection / Mississippi Department of Archives and History / Mississippi History Now / Nuclear Blasts in Mississippi by Stephen Cresswell / Wikimedia Commons).

Rare Photos



Figure 12. A seismograph records the shockwaves from the detonation.

(Photo credit: Moncrief Photograph Collection / Mississippi Department of Archives and History / Mississippi History Now / Nuclear Blasts in Mississippi by Stephen Cresswell / Wikimedia Commons).




Figure 13. Workers lower the 5.3 kiloton bomb used in the Salmon test into the ground.



Figure 14. Residents stabilize chimneys, porches, and outbuildings in anticipation of the blast.

(Photo credit: Moncrief Photograph Collection / Mississippi Department of Archives and History / Mississippi History Now / Nuclear Blasts in Mississippi by Stephen Cresswell / Wikimedia Commons).



Figure 15. Baxterville Postmaster C.E. Bond comforts his dog “Old Blu” after the blast.

(Photo credit: Moncrief Photograph Collection / Mississippi Department of Archives and History / Mississippi History Now / Nuclear Blasts in Mississippi by Stephen Cresswell / Wikimedia Commons).



Figure 16. A resident constructs a homemade seismograph before the blast.



Figure 17. An observer checks his watch at the scheduled time of the blast.

(Photo credit: Moncrief Photograph Collection / Mississippi Department of Archives and History / Mississippi History Now / Nuclear Blasts in Mississippi by Stephen Cresswell / Wikimedia Commons).




Figure 18. Residents await the much-anticipated blast.

(Photo credit: Moncrief Photograph Collection / Mississippi Department of Archives and History / Mississippi History Now / Nuclear Blasts in Mississippi by Stephen Cresswell / Wikimedia Commons).



Figure 19. Nearby resident Horace Burge's home, two miles from ground zero, was significantly damaged by the shock of the Salmon Test Site blast.



Figure 20. Horace Burge surveys the damage to his kitchen.

(Photo credit: Moncrief Photograph Collection / Mississippi Department of Archives and History / Mississippi History Now / Nuclear Blasts in Mississippi by Stephen Cresswell / Wikimedia Commons).

Study Currently Underway at Salmon

During the November 1983 annual sampling, several monitored locations had elevated levels of tritium. Several explanations were discussed, including the most likely explanation that it was due to the dry conditions onsite. Tritium concentration tends to be high during drier months of the year (usually summer and fall) due to less water saturation caused by rainfall. To evaluate this hypothesis or assist in the development of a more effective one, an automated rain gauge was installed near the site on February 12, 1992, to accurately measure rainfall. Either DRH or EPA measured rainfall into the year 2000. Weather monitoring has ended at the site, due to eight years of data having confirmed the theory sufficiently.

After the April 1984 sampling, a "special study" was begun by the Division of Radiological Health with the concurrence of DOE. This study focused on the HMM Series, the Overflow Pond near SGZ, Half Moon Creek, the Pond West of Ground Zero (Beaver Pond), HM-S, and the REECO Pit. Samples were collected monthly in an ongoing study of tritium levels.

Results of this program have been quite consistent, with a definite downward trend for tritium concentrations. The sampling frequency was reduced to quarterly when the April 1990 cooperative sampling results did not show a reversal of this trend.

In February of 2002, the HMM series were plugged and abandoned as part of the Site Restoration Plan. Wells that are more reliable replaced three of these holes, and several had already become redundant with the SA# series wells.




Figure 21. Tritium Trend Chart for Selected Sample Points
(Updated: January 2026)

NOTE: The spike in the HMH-5 data in April 2003 is from the replacement well HMH-5R that is 20 ft deeper than the original 10ft hole.

Tritium

Since the start of the STS environmental monitoring program, tritium has been the principal radionuclide of concern. Tritium is a naturally occurring radioactive form of hydrogen that is produced in the atmosphere when cosmic rays collide with air molecules. As a result, tritium is found in very small or trace amounts in groundwater throughout the world. It is also a byproduct of the production of electricity by nuclear power plants. Tritium emits a weak form of radiation, a low-energy beta particle similar to an electron. Tritium radiation does not travel very far in the air and cannot penetrate the skin.

Tritium is almost always found as tritiated water and primarily enters the body when people eat or drink food or water containing tritium or absorb it through their skin. People can also inhale tritium as a gas in the air. Once tritium enters the body, it disperses quickly and is uniformly distributed throughout the soft tissues. Half of the tritium is excreted within approximately 10 days after exposure. About 10 percent of the dose from any tritium exposure comes from the small fraction of the exposure that the body retains as organically bound tritium (ICRP-30, 1979). Everyone is exposed to small amounts of tritium every day because it occurs naturally in the environment and the foods we eat. Workers in federal weapons facilities; medical, biomedical, or university research facilities; and nuclear fuel cycle facilities may receive increased exposures to tritium.

The NRC agrees with national and international radiation protection regulatory agencies that any exposure to radiation could pose some health risk. This risk increases with exposure in a linear, no-threshold manner. Lower levels of radiation therefore have lower risks. The health risks include increased occurrence of cancer. Since it is assumed that any exposure to radiation could pose some health risk, it makes sense to keep radiation doses as low as reasonably achievable, known as ALARA. The NRC's radiation dose limits and ALARA requirements minimize the health risk and ensure that no individual exceeds federal health and safety standards.

The NRC sets dose limits for radiation workers and the general public well below the levels of radiation exposure that cause health effects in humans – including a developing embryo or fetus. The effects of high doses and high dose rates are well understood. Public health research, however, has not established health risks at low doses and low dose rates – below about 10,000 mrem.

The EPA's authority under the Safe Drinking Water Act sets federal limits for drinking water contaminants. Water suppliers must provide water that meets these standards, called maximum contaminant levels. Some states have adopted the EPA's drinking water standards as legally enforceable groundwater protection standards. These standards are often used in assessing laboratory test results of water from private wells.

The EPA's dose-based drinking water standard of 4 mrem per year is based on a maximum contaminant level of 20,000 picocuries per liter for tritium. If other similar radioactive materials are also present in the drinking water, the annual dose from all the materials combined shall not exceed 4 mrem per year. This standard was expected to be exceeded only in extraordinary circumstances (EPA, 1975; EPA, 1976b).

In 1991, EPA used improved calculations to conclude a tritium concentration of 60,900 pCi/L would yield a 4 mrem per year dose. However, EPA kept the 20,000 pCi/L value for tritium in its latest regulations.

¹ U.S.NRC Backgrounder on Tritium, Radiation Protection Limits, and Drinking Water Standards

² U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Report "Sources of Tritium and Its Behavior Upon Release to the Environment." D.G. Jacobs, TID-24635, 1968.

³ NCRP Report #45 "Natural Background Radiation in the United States." National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, November 15, 1975, page 33.



Figure 22. Michael Melton (MSDH) Collecting Quarterly Water Sample from Well

Safe Drinking Water Standards for Radioactive Materials

The United States Environmental Protection Agency has established maximum contaminant levels in the Safe Drinking Water Regulations: "The average annual concentration of beta particle-emitting man-made radionuclides in drinking water shall not produce an annual dose equivalent to the total body or any internal organ greater than four millirem per year." Twenty thousand picocuries per liter (20,000 pCi/L) of tritium in "finished" drinking water if taken internally at the rate of two liters per day for a year equals the average annual concentration assumed to produce a total body dose equivalent of four millirem per year.

The groundwater in some aquifers at the STS is non-potable (i.e., due to its brackish nature, it is not suitable for drinking or cooking); therefore, this standard does not apply directly to them. However, the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality does apply the drinking water standard to all Mississippi water sources.

In the absence of any other more appropriate standards, it has also been applied to tritium concentrations in game, fish, and vegetation samples. The part that naturally occurring tritium plays in this report is difficult to determine. Members of the DRH staff have estimated that any amount of tritium that might possibly be detected in offsite wells will be quite small and was probably produced from natural causes and/or the atmospheric testing of nuclear weapons.

The Mississippi State Department of Health and other regulatory agencies continue to urge the responsible federal agencies to establish standards for other environmental components and contaminants that they have not promulgated.

2024-2025 STS Environmental Monitoring

The Division of Radiological Health (MSDH/DRH) and the U.S. Department of Energy contractor, RSI ENTECH, began the 2024-2025 biannual monitoring for the STS on October 20-24, 2025. Federal and State personnel present during the 2024-2025 sampling included:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Affiliation</u>
o Gretchen Baer	RSI ENTECH
o Clayton Grammer	RSI ENTECH
o Sarah Huerta	RSI ENTECH
o Preston Carron	RSI ENTECH
o Rick Findlay	Legacy Management
o Michael Melton	MSDH/DRH
o Adam Dunn	MSDH/DRH

Chronology of Sampling Events

After the 2007 sampling, EPA, Las Vegas lost the sampling contract for the Salmon Test Site. The DOE contractor RSI ENTECH has taken over the primary sampling responsibility during the annual sampling. A contract lab now analyzes the RSI ENTECH samples for radiological constituents.

RSI ENTECH rented electric generators to power the air pumps for the low flow sampling system and the electric pumps in SA5-4-4 and SA5-5-4 on October 20, 2025. The sampling of wells started on the site around 11:00 a.m. on the 21st and continued through October 23rd, 2025. Pumping continued at all well locations until field chemistry data (temperature, conductivity, and pH) stabilized to ensure the sample was representative of the aquifer. On October 22, 2025, surface water samples (i.e., PWGZ, HMC and HMCOP) were taken.

DOE discontinued the sampling of private ponds and city wells offsite at the 2009 sampling in favor of sampling streams as they entered and left the site.

Other areas sampled included Pond West of Ground Zero (Beaver Pond), Half Moon Creek (at two points), Half Moon Creek Overflow Pond, Hickory Hollow Creek and Grantham Creek.



Figure 23. Beautiful photo of Pond West of Ground Zero




Figure 24. Conceptual Model of the SGZ Well Cluster




Figure 25. Cross-sectional depiction of the shot cavity after surface decommissioning




Figure 26. Conceptual Model of the Relationship of the Dome, Shot Cavity, and SGZ Well Cluster at the Salmon Site

Table 1. Dept. of Energy Onsite Sample Tritium Analysis

October 2023

	Surface Ground Zero Wells	
Location	Date/Time	DOE Analysis Activity + Error (pCi/L)
HM-1 (Aquifer 1)	10/24/2023 11:00	<LLD
HM-2A (Aquifer 2A)	10/25/2023 12:00	<LLD
HM-2B (Aquifer 3)	10/24/2023 16:35	<LLD
HM-3 (Aquifer 3)	10/25/2023 11:10	<LLD
HM-L (Local Aquifer)	10/25/2023 09:40	<LLD
HM-L2 (Local Aquifer)	10/25/2023	<LLD
	Other Deep Wells	
Location	Date/Time	DOE Analysis Activity + Error (pCi/L)
E-7 (Caprock)	10/26/2023	<LLD
SA1-8-L	10/26/2023	<LLD
SA1-11-3	10/26/2023	<LLD
SA2-1-L	10/26/2023	<LLD
SA2-2-L	10/26/2023	<LLD
SA2-4-L	10/26/2023	<LLD
SA3-11-3	10/25/2023 16:25	<LLD
SA4-5-L	10/25/2023	<LLD
SA5-4-4	10/24/2023	<LLD

(Data on pages 34-37 include the Dept. of Energy's (DOE) STS data from the biannual sample in October of 2023. Data was omitted from the 2023 report due to a delay in results reporting.)

Table 1. Dept. of Energy Onsite Sample Tritium Analysis (Contd.)

October 2023

	Surficial Aquifer Wells	
Location	Date/Time	DOE Analysis Activity + Error (pCi/L)
SA1-1-H	10/25/2023 13:25	1467 ± 368
SA1-2-H	10/24/23 16:40	<LLD
SA1-3-H	10/25/2023	<LLD
SA1-7-H	10/26/23	<LLD
SA1-12-H	10/26/2023	<LLD
HMH-5R	10/24/2023 13:45	1339 ± 374
HM-S	10/24/2023 11:35	<LLD
	Surface Water	
Location	Date/Time	DOE Analysis Activity + Error (pCi/L)
Halfmoon Creek (HMC)	10/23/2023 10:01	<LLD
HMC Entry		NS
HMC Leaving Site	10/25/2023	<LLD
Halfmoon Creek Overflow (HMC Overflow)	-	NS
Grantham Creek	-	NS
Hickory Hollow	10/25/2023	<LLD
Pond West of Ground Zero	-	NS

(Data on pages 34-37 include the Dept. of Energy's (DOE) STS data from the biannual sample in October of 2023. Data was omitted from the 2023 report due to a delay in results reporting.)

Table 2. Dept. of Energy Offsite Sample Tritium Analysis

October 2023

	Public Water Supply	
Location	Date/Time	DOE Analysis Activity + Error (pCi/L)
Baxterville	10/26/2023 08:20	<LLD
Hub Water Association (Bay Creek Store)	-	NS
N. Lumberton	10/26/2023 10:00	<LLD
City of Purvis	10/26/2023 09:15	<LLD

(Data on pages 34-37 include the Dept. of Energy's (DOE) STS data from the biannual sample in October of 2023. Data was omitted from the 2023 report due to a delay in results reporting.)

Table 3. Quarterly Onsite Sample Tritium Analysis

[June 2024]

Location	Date/Time	DRH/Analysis Activity + Error (pCi/L)
HMS	6/18/2024 09:58	513 $\pm$ 193
HMH-5-R	6/18/2024 10:19	<LLD
SA1-1-H	6/18/2024 10:04	1040 $\pm$ 251
HMC	6/18/2024 09:35	<LLD
HMC Overflow	6/18/2024 10:14	<LLD
Pond West of Ground Zero	6/18/2024 10:20	<LLD
REECo Pit A	-	NS
REECo Pit B	6/18/2024 11:19	3410 $\pm$ 584
REECo Pit C	-	NS

Table 3. Quarterly Onsite Sample Tritium Analysis (Contd.)

[September 2024]

Location	Date/Time	DRH/Analysis Activity + Error (pCi/L)
HMS	9/17/2024 11:00	<LLD
HMH-5-R	9/17/2024 11:30	592 ± 200
SA1-1-H	9/17/2024 10:40	1020 ± 248
HMC	9/17/2024 10:04	<LLD
HMC Overflow	9/17/2024 10:26	<LLD
Pond West of Ground Zero	9/17/2025 10:59	502 ± 191
REEC Co Pit A	-	NS
REEC Co Pit B	-	NS
REEC Co Pit C	-	NS

Table 3. Quarterly Onsite Sample Tritium Analysis (Contd.)

[December 2024]

Location	Date/Time	DRH/Analysis Activity + Error (pCi/L)
HMS	12/4/2024 10:01	<LLD
HMH-5-R	12/4/2024 10:15	1260 <u>+</u> 283
SA1-1-H	12/4/2024 10:26	<LLD
HMC	12/4/2024 09:27	<LLD
HMC Overflow	12/4/2024 10:07	<LLD
Pond West of Ground Zero	12/4/2024 10:20	<LLD
REEC Co Pit A	-	NS
REEC Co Pit B	-	NS
REEC Co Pit C	-	NS

Table 3. Quarterly Onsite Sample Tritium Analysis (Contd.)

[March 2025]

Location	Date/Time	DRH Analysis Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)
HMS	3/21/2025 10:47	<LLD
HMH-5-R	3/21/2025 10:33	1200 $\pm$ 273
SA1-1-H	3/21/2025 10:40	<LLD
HMC	3/21/2025 09:54	<LLD
HMC Overflow	3/21/2025 10:17	<LLD
Pond West of Ground Zero	3/21/2025 10:10	<LLD
REECO Pit A	-	NS
REECO Pit B	-	NS
REECO Pit C	-	NS

Table 3. Quarterly Onsite Sample Tritium Analysis (Contd.)

[June 2025]

Location	Date/Time	DRH Analysis Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)
HMS	06/03/2025 10:47	<LLD
HMH-5-R	06/03/2025 11:03	551 $\pm$ 198
SA1-1-H	06/03/2025 11:00	<LLD
HMC	06/03/2025 10:03	<LLD
HMC Overflow	06/03/2025 10:57	<LLD
Pond West of Ground Zero	06/03/2025 10:15	<LLD
REEC Co Pit A	-	NS
REEC Co Pit B	-	NS
REEC Co Pit C	-	NS

Table 3. Quarterly Onsite Sample Tritium Analysis (Contd.)

[August 2025]

Location	Date/Time	DRH Analysis Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)
HMS	08/19/2025 10:37	<LLD
HMH-5-R	08/19/2025 10:45	650$\pm$214
SA1-1-H	08/19/2025 10:31	528$\pm$202
HMC	08/19/2025 09:55	<LLD
HMC Overflow	08/19/2025 10:11	<LLD
Pond West of Ground Zero	08/19/2025 10:35	<LLD
REECO Pit A	-	NS
REECO Pit B	-	NS
REECO Pit C	-	NS

Tritium Analysis

During the October 2025 Annual Monitoring, onsite and offsite locations were sampled for tritium analyses. These analyses identified locations onsite that were above background. One offsite location showed tritium levels above background. The contractor used enrichment techniques only on selected samples. Radiological data detailing tritium and gamma isotopic concentrations onsite and offsite are presented below and on the following pages from the October 2025 joint sampling event.

Table 4. Onsite Sample Tritium Analysis

Surface Ground Zero Wells			
Location	Date/Time	DRH ANALYSIS Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)	DOE ANALYSIS Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)
HM-1 (Aquifer 1)	10/21/25 16:35	<LLD	TBR
HM-2A (Aquifer 2A)	10/21/25 12:10	<LLD	TBR
HM-2B (Aquifer 2B)	10/22/25 11:15	<LLD	TBR
HM-3 (Aquifer 3)	10/21/25 13:45	<LLD	TBR
HM-L (Local Aquifer)	10/21/25 15:30	<LLD	TBR
HM-L2 (Local Aquifer)	10/22/25 15:20	<LLD	TBR

*TBR-to be reported. Department of Energy/Legacy Management Data Analysis results
will be reported at a later date.*

Other Deep Wells			
Location	Date/Time	DRH ANALYSIS Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)	DOE ANALYSIS Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)
E-7 (Caprock)	10/22/25 10:00	<LLD	TBR
SA1-8-L	10/22/25 10:00	<LLD	TBR
SA1-11-3	10/23/25 9:20	<LLD	TBR
SA2-1-L	10/23/25 12:00	<LLD	TBR
SA2-2-L	10/23/25 11:15	<LLD	TBR
SA2-4-L	10/22/25 16:15	<LLD	TBR
SA3-11-3	10/22/25 13:45	<LLD	TBR
SA4-5-L	10/22/25 14:30	<LLD	TBR
SA5-4-4	10/21/25 16:25	<LLD	TBR

Surficial Aquifer Wells			
Location	Date/Time	DRH ANALYSIS Activity + Error (pCi/L)	DOE ANALYSIS Activity + Error (pCi/L)
SA1-1-H	10/22/25 12:30	823 $\pm$ 213	TBR
SA1-2-H	10/22/25 11:15	<LLD	TBR
SA1-3-H	10/22/25 10:20	<LLD	TBR
SA1-7-H	10/21/25 15:00	<LLD	TBR
SA1-12-H	10/22/25 14:10	<LLD	TBR
HMH-5R	10/21/25 11:00	1010 $\pm$ 303	TBR
HMH-16R	10/21/25 12:25	<LLD	TBR
HM-S	10/21/25 17:15	<LLD	TBR

Table 4. Onsite Sample Tritium Analysis (Contd.)

Surface Water			
Location	Date/Time	DRH ANALYSIS Activity + Error (pCi/L)	DOE ANALYSIS Activity + Error (pCi/L)
Half Moon Creek (HMC)	10/22/25 14:30	<LLD	TBR
HMC Entry (HMC-S)	10/23/25 10:50	<LLD	TBR
HMC Leaving Site (HMC Exit)	10/22/25 15:30	<LLD	TBR
Half Moon Creek Overflow (HMC Overflow)	10/22/25 11:30	<LLD	TBR
Grantham Creek (GC-E)	10/23/25 11:30	<LLD	TBR
Hickory Hollow (HickHCrTSD-East)	10/23/25 11:05	<LLD	TBR
Pond West of Ground Zero	10/22/25 13:00	<LLD	TBR

Table 5. Offsite Tritium Analysis

Public Water Supplies			
Location	Date/Time	DRH ANALYSIS Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)	DOE ANALYSIS Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)
Baxterville	10/23/25 8:00	<LLD	TBR
N. Lumberton	10/23/25 8:30	<LLD	TBR
City of Purvis	10/23/25 9:00	<LLD	TBR

Gamma Isotopic Analysis

During the October 2025 Annual Monitoring, onsite and offsite locations were sampled for gamma isotopic analyses by the Mississippi State Department of Health. The Department of Energy analyzed Chlorine-36/35 in lieu of the gamma isotopic analyses. These analyses identified naturally occurring radionuclides, whose sample concentrations were negligible. No other radionuclides were detected. The Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) for Cs-137 for the Mississippi State Department of Health is <10 pCi/L. Samples analysis results are detailed below.

Table 6. Onsite Sample Gamma Analysis

Surface Ground Zero Wells			
Location	Date/Time	DRH ANALYSIS Activity ± Error (pCi/L)	DOE ANALYSIS Activity ± Error (pCi/L)
HM-1 (Aquifer 1)	10/21/2025 16:35	<LLD	TBR
HM-2A (Aquifer 2A)	10/21/2025 12:10	<LLD	TBR
HM-2B (Aquifer 2B)	10/22/2025 11:15	<LLD	TBR
HM-3 (Aquifer 3)	10/21/2025 13:45	<LLD	TBR
HM-L (Local Aquifer)	10/21/2025 15:30	<LLD	TBR
HM-L2 (Local Aquifer)	10/22/2025 15:20	<LLD	TBR

TBR-to be reported. Department of Energy/Legacy Management Data Analysis results will be reported at a later date.

Table 6. Onsite Sample Gamma Analysis (Contd.)

Other Deep Wells			
Location	Date/Time	DRH ANALYSIS Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)	DOE ANALYSIS Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)
HMH-5R	10/21/2025 11:00	<LLD	TBR
HMH-16R	10/21/2025 12:25	<LLD	TBR
HM-S	10/21/2025 17:15	<LLD	TBR
E-7 (Caprock)	10/22/2025 10:00	<LLD	TBR
SA1-8-L	10/22/2025 10:00	<LLD	TBR
SA1-11-3	10/23/2025 9:20	<LLD	TBR
SA2-1-L	10/23/2025 12:00	<LLD	TBR
SA2-2-L	10/23/2025 11:15	<LLD	TBR
SA2-4-L	10/22/2025 16:15	<LLD	TBR
SA2-6-H	10/22/2025 17:35	<LLD	TBR
SA2-6-L	10/23/2025 12:50	<LLD	TBR
SA3-4-H	10/23/2025 13:45	<LLD	TBR
SA3-4-L	10/23/2025 13:10	<LLD	TBR
SA3-11-3	10/22/2025 13:45	<LLD	TBR
SA4-5-L	10/22/2025 14:30	<LLD	TBR
SA1-7-H	10/21/2025 15:00	<LLD	TBR
SA1-6-H	10/21/2025 14:10	<LLD	TBR
SA1-5-H	10/21/2025 15:55	<LLD	TBR
SA1-4-H	10/22/25 9:40	<LLD	TBR

Other Deep Wells (Contd.)			
Location	Date/Time	DRH ANALYSIS Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)	DOE ANALYSIS Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)
SA1-3-H	10/22/2025 10:20	<LLD	TBR
SA1-1-H	10/22/2025 12:30	<LLD	TBR
SA1-2-H	10/22/2025 11:15	<LLD	TBR
SA5-4-4	10/21/2025 12:30	<LLD	TBR
SA5-5-4	10/21/2025 16:25	<LLD	TBR
SA1-12-H	10/22/2025 14:10	<LLD	TBR
SA1-12-L	10/22/2025 13:45	<LLD	TBR

Surface Water			
Location	Date/Time	DRH ANALYSIS Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)	DOE ANALYSIS Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)
Half Moon Creek (HMC)	10/22/2025 14:30	<LLD	TBR
HMC Entry	10/23/2025 10:50	<LLD	TBR
HMC Exit	10/22/2025 15:30	<LLD	TBR
Half Moon Creek Overflow (HMC Overflow)	10/22/2025 11:30	<LLD	TBR
Grantham Creek	10/23/2025 11:30	<LLD	TBR
Hickory Hollow	10/23/2025 11:05	<LLD	TBR
Pond West of Ground Zero	10/22/2025 13:00	<LLD	TBR

Table 7. Offsite Sample Gamma Analysis

Public Water Supplies			
Location	Date/Time	DRH ANALYSIS Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)	DOE ANALYSIS Activity $\pm$ Error (pCi/L)
Baxterville	10/23/2025 8:00	<LLD	TBR
N. Lumberton	10/23/2025 8:30	<LLD	TBR
City of Purvis	10/23/2025 9:00	<LLD	TBR

Study of Select Wells and Surface Water

During the April 1985 routine sampling, representatives of EPA, DOE, and DRH decided to continue the special study that began in April 1984 and was to terminate in October of 1985. Beginning with the April 1986 data, the DRH has continued this sampling study of the HMH Series, sharing split or duplicate samples with EPA. Also included in this study are other samples: from the HM-S Well, Half Moon Creek, Half Moon Creek Overflow Pond, and Pond West of Ground Zero, and three sampling points along the REECob borrow pit gully. By agreement with DOE, many of the HMH-# wells were discontinued as of April 1999 and will no longer be sampled. In February 2002, the HMH-# wells were plugged and abandoned. Replacing HMH-5 and 16 were HMH-5R and 16R, and SA1-1-H was added to the quarterly sampling to make up for HMH-1 and 2. In early 2010, DOE discontinued funding for the analysis of the EPA duplicates, so they are no longer sent.

The REECob pit sample points may not be available in dry months. Analytical results are detailed below.

Table 8. Study of the HMH Series and Surface Water
HMH Series

(All Results are in pCi/L $\pm$ 2 Sigma Error for Tritium)

Date	HMH-5-R	HM-S	SA1-1-H
8/19/2025	650 $\pm$ 214	<LLD	528 $\pm$ 202
10/21/2025	1010 $\pm$ 303	<LLD	823 $\pm$ 213

Surface Water

(All Results are in pCi/L $\pm$ 2 Sigma Error for Tritium)

Date	REECob Pit A	REECob Pit B	REECob Pit C
8/19/2025	NS	NS	NS
10/21/2025	NS	NS	NS

(**Note: REECob Pit A, REECob Pit B, and REECob Pit C could not be collected due to drought.**)

(All Results are in pCi/L $\pm$ 2 Sigma Error for Tritium)

Date	HMC	HMCOP	PWGZ
8/19/2025	<LLD	<LLD	<LLD
10/21/2025	<LLD	<LLD	<LLD

(Note: Data listed first is MSDH data from the quarterly STS visit on 8/19/25, and the data listed second is from the annual visit the week of 10/21/25-10/23/25.)

Appendix

Please send questions or comments concerning information contained in this report to the following address:

Mississippi State Department of Health
Division of Radiological Health
310 Airport Road
Pearl, MS 39208

All Salmon Test Site Radiological Monitoring Reports can be accessed on the website below:

<https://msdh.ms.gov/page/30,0,102,63.html>

or scan the QR code below:



